

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/830,154	SCHWENG, DETLEF	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	AKLILU k. WOLDEMARIAM	2624	

All Participants:

(1) AKLILU k. WOLDEMARIAM.

(2) STEPHEN B. ACKERMAN (Reg. No. 37, 761).

Date of Interview: 10 December 2008

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1, 14, 27 and 40

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner discussed with applicant's representative to suggest claims 1, 14, 27 and 40 to overcome 35 U.S.C 101 rejection.

Applicant's representative agreed with examiner to amend claims 1, 14, 27 and 40 to overcome 35 U.S.C 101 rejection.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/AKLILU WOLDEMARIAM/

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)